Andromache Management Area

2013	Land Use	Management Practices	2007 % Adoption 			2014 % Adoption Target			2014 % Adoption Achieved			Effort realised	% of target	Draft 2021 % Adoption Target	Cost \$ '000s				
- 70	Cane & Horticulture	Soil	💿 😳	D	С	В	С		В	А	D	С	В		Н	84	New management practice		
N 2007		Nutrient	💿 💿	D	С	В	с			A	D			А	Н	75	adoption targets and implementation costs will be		
ADOPTION		Pesticide		D	С	В	с			A	D			Α	Н	75	determined in consultat		
DOF	Grazing	Soil	💿 😳	D	с		С		В	А	D	с		٦	L	5	the community and stakeholders		
	Existing Urban	Nutrient	on 💿	NOT APPLICABLE									during the Water Quality						
ž	Management		•••										Improvement Plan upda	ate process					
CHANGE	New Urban Development	Soil		NOT APPLICABLE contin								continuing throughout 2	2014						
				Dated practice C Common practice B Best practice							Cutting-edge pr	actice							

Kees De likete set	Ev	ent Fresh	water Qu	uality Valu	es	Draft C	ane & Horti Priority	culture	Draft Grazing Priority				Cost
Key Pollutant	Objective 2050	Condition 2007	Target 2014	Achieved 2014	Draft Target 2021	Soil	Nutrient	Pesticide	Soil	Riparian	Nutrient	Pesticide	\$ '000s
DissolvedInorganic Nitrogen μg/L	300	337	295	306	295	L	L				L		59
Filterable Reactive Phosphorus μg/L	СС	0	CC	CC	CC	L	L				L		55
🕑 Particulate Nitrogen μg/L	341	384	331	380	331	L	L		L	L	L		
Particulate Phosphorus µg/L	70	203	175	201	175	L	L		L H	L	L		2103
Total Suspended Sediment mg/L	200	252	217	249	217	L			L	L			
Ametryn μg/L	CC	<lod< td=""><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>L</td><td></td><td>L</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod<>	CC	CC	CC	L		L					
Atrazine μg/L	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.23	0.02	L		L					30
 Diuron μg/L	СС	<lod< td=""><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>L</td><td></td><td>L</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod<>	CC	CC	CC	L		L					
Hexazinone μg/L	СС	<lod< td=""><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>L</td><td></td><td>L</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod<>	CC	CC	CC	L		L					
Tebuthiuron µg/L	СС	<lod< td=""><td>CC</td><td>СС</td><td>CC</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>L</td><td>#</td></lod<>	CC	СС	CC							L	#

 $\label{eq:CC} CC = Current \ condition; \ LOD = Limit \ of \ Detection \ which \ is \ currently \ 0.01 \ \mu g/L \ for \ all \ herbicides \\ \# \ Tebuthiuron \ is \ not \ a \ priority \ due \ to \ consistently \ low \ levels \ of \ detection \ across \ the \ region$

Syst	System rating (A=excellent, E=poor)						Draft	Cost	
Value rated	Objective 2050	Condition 2007	ondition larget (Achieved) Target		Draft Target 2021	System repair actions	Priority	\$ '000s	
Flow	A	B	A	B	A	mplementation of voluntary irrigation restrictions to maintain waterhole during low flow		Costs to in improvements	
Barriers to Migration	A	B	A B A Re		A	Removal of barriers to migration	L	to impleme nents will be	
Instream Habitat	A	B	A	В	A	Restoration and stabilisation of priority reaches	L	ent system re e determined targets ha	
Riparian Vegetation	A			A	Active restoration and connectivity of priority reaches. Grazing management on riparian land	L	repair actions for eco: ed after management have been set.		
Estuary Modification					NOT APPLICABLE				
Mangroves& Saltmarsh						NOT APPLICABLE		ystem health practice adoption	