## **Blacks Creek Management Area**

	Land Use	Management Practices	Key Pollutant	2007 % Adoption 			1		% Ad	014 option rget	2014 % Adoption Achieved			Effort realised	% of target	Draft 2021 % Adoption Target	Cost \$ '000s	
	Cane & Horticulture	Soil		D			В	С	В	А	D	(		В	L	5	New management prac	tice
		Nutrient		D	Т		В	С		А	D			В	L	5	adoption targets and implementation costs w	rill he
		Pesticide	•	D			В	C		Α	D			В	L	5	determined in consultat	
	Grazing	Soil		D	C		3	C		А	D	С			L	5	the community and stake	keholders
	Existing Urban Management	NUTRIENT UNI GRO NUL APPLICABLE										during the Water Quality Improvement Plan update process						
	New Urban Development	Soil		NOT APPLICABLE continuing through									continuing throughout 2	2014				
	Dated practice C Common practice B Best practice Cutting-edge practice											practice						

Kov Pollutant	Ev	ent Fresh	water Qı	uality Valu		Draft C	ane & Horti Priority	culture	Draft Grazing Priority				Cost
Key Pollutant	Objective 2050	Condition 2007	Target 2014	Achieved 2014	Draft Target 2021	Soil	Nutrient	Pesticide	Soil	Riparian	Nutrient	Pesticide	\$ '000s
DissolvedInorganio Nitrogen μg/L	300	329	317	329	317	L → H	<b>L</b> → H				L <b>∕†</b> ∕H		27
Filterable Reactive Phosphorus µg/L	30	52	50	52	50	L <b>√</b> H	L <b>≪</b> H				L <b>∕†</b> ∕H		2,
Particulate Nitrogen μg/L	340	693	440	674	440	L → H	L H		L → H	L → H	L → H		
Particulate Phosphorus µg/L	70	215	136	209	136	<b>L</b> → H	L H		<b>L</b> → H	L → H			7974
Total Suspended Sediment mg/L	CC	183	CC	178	CC	L → H			<b>L</b> ← H	L <b>≪</b> H			
Ametryn μg/L	CC	<lod< td=""><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>L<b>√</b>H</td><td></td><td>L H</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod<>	CC	CC	CC	L <b>√</b> H		L H					
& Atrazine μg/L	CC	<lod< td=""><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>L<b>√</b>H</td><td></td><td>L H</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>62</td></lod<>	CC	CC	CC	L <b>√</b> H		L H					62
Diuron μg/L	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.06	<b>L</b> → H		L → H					
l Hexazinone μg/L	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	L → H		L → H					
Tebuthiuron μg/L	CC	<lod< td=""><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>L</td><td>#</td></lod<>	CC	CC	CC							L	#

 $CC = Current condition; LOD = Limit of Detection which is currently 0.01 <math>\mu$ g/L for all herbicides

<sup>#</sup> Tebuthiuron is not a priority due to consistently low levels of detection across the region

em rating	(A=exce	llent, E=	=poor)			Draft	Cost
Objective 2050	Condition 2007	Target 2014	Achieved 2014	Draft Target 2021	System repair actions	Priority	\$ '000s
A	C	В	C	В	Maintain current flow regimes	L H	Costs to in improvements
B	D	<b>C</b>	D	G	Removal of barriers to migration	L → H	to impleme ents will be
A	B	A	B	A	Restoration and stabilisation of priority reaches	L H	
A	A	A	A	A	Active management and protection of riparian zones. Grazing management on riparian land and adjacent to wetlands	L <b>H</b>	nt system repair actions for ecosystem health determined after management practice adop targets have been set.
					NOT APPLICABLE		for ecosystem ement practic
					NOT APPLICABLE		ystem health practice adoption
	Objective 2050  A  B	Objective Condition 2050  A  B  D  A  B  B	A B A	A B A B	Objective Condition Target 2014 Achieved 2014 Target 2021  A B B B B B B A B A	A B A A A A A A Ctive management and protection of riparian zones. Grazing management on riparian land and adjacent to wetlands  System repair actions  System repair actions	A C B C B Maintain current flow regimes  B D C D Removal of barriers to migration  A B A B A C A A A A A A A Active management and protection of riparian zones. Grazing management on riparian land and adjacent to wetlands