Gillinbin Creek Management Area

2013	Land Use	Management Practices	2007 % Adoption			2014 % Adoption Target				2014 % Adoption Achieved			Effort realised	% of target	Draft 2021 % Adoption Target	Cost \$ '000s		
	Cane & Horticulture	Soil	💿 💿	D		В				A	D			A	н	125	New management prac	tice
ADOPTION 2007		Nutrient	凾 🐵	D	с		с		A	Į.	C	с		А	н	77	adoption targets and	
		Pesticide	٢	D	С		с		A			с		A	М	72	implementation costs w determined in consultat	
DOP	Grazing	Soil	💿 😳	D	C E				В	А	D	С		A	L	0	the community and stak	reholders
	Existing Urban Management	Nutrient	on 💿	NOT APPLICABLE								during the Water Quality						
CHANGE	New Urban Development	Soil									Improvement Plan update process continuing throughout 2014							
	Dated practice C Common practice B Best practic									practice	e Cutting-edge p	ractice						

	Kasa Dallasta est	Event Freshwater Quality Values					Draft C	ane & Horti Priority	culture	Draft Grazing Priority				Cost
	Key Pollutant	Objective 2050	Condition 2007	Target 2014	Achieved 2014	Draft Target 2021	Soil	Nutrient	Pesticide	Soil	Riparian	Nutrient	Pesticide	\$ '000s
	DissolvedInorganic Nitrogen μg/L	СС	48	42	32	42	L	L				L		20
	Filterable Reactive Phosphorus μg/L	CC	3	CC	CC	CC	L	L				L		
	Particulate Nitrogen μg/L	CC	152	152	152	152	L	L K H		L H	L	L		
	Particulate Phosphorus µg/L	CC	37	СС	37	CC	L	L		L	L			324
	Total Suspended Sediment mg/L	СС	66	CC	66	CC	L			L A H	L H			
	Ametryn μg/L	CC	<lod< td=""><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>L</td><td></td><td>L</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod<>	CC	CC	CC	L		L					
ł	♦ Atrazine µg/L	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	L		L					12
	 Diuron μg/L	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.05	L		L					
	θ Hexazinone μg/L	CC	<lod< td=""><td>CC</td><td>СС</td><td>CC</td><td>L</td><td></td><td>L</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod<>	CC	СС	CC	L		L					
	📀 Tebuthiuron µg/L	CC	<lod< td=""><td>CC</td><td>СС</td><td>CC</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>L</td><td>#</td></lod<>	CC	СС	CC							L	#

CC = Current condition; LOD = Limit of Detection which is currently 0.01 $\mu g/L$ for all herbicides

Tebuthiuron is not a priority due to consistently low levels of detection across the region

Syst	em rating	g (A=exce	llent, E=	=poor)			Draft	Cost
Value rated			iarget	System repair actions	Priority	\$ '000s		
Flow	A	A	A	A	A	Maintain current flow regimes	L	Costs to ir improvements
Barriers to Migration	A	B	A	B	A	Removal of barriers to migration	L	ments will
Instream Habitat	A	B	A	B	A	Restoration and stabilisation of priority reaches	L	nent syster be determi targe
Riparian Vegetation	A	A	A	A	A	Active management and protection of riparian zones. Grazing management on riparian land and adjacent to wetlands	L	m repair actions ined after mana ts have been se
Estuary Modification	A	В	A	B	A	Maintain protection and management strategies to conserve estuary condition	L	for ecosyste gement prac t.
Mangroves& Saltmarsh	A	B	A	B	A	Maintain protection and management strategies to conserve mangroves and saltmarsh	L	m health tice adoption