Marion Creek Management Area

Land Use	se Management Practices Key Pollutant 2007 % Adoption		າ 	2014 % Adoption Target			2014 % Adoption Achieved			Effort realised	% of target	70 Adoption			
	Soil		D		В	С		Α	С		А	Н	102	New management prac	rtice
Cane & Horticulture	Nutrient		D	С	В	С		Α	D		В	М	62	adoption targets and	
	Pesticide	•	D	С	В	C		Α	С			Н	94	implementation costs was determined in consultate	
Grazing	Soil		D	C E	В	c		Α	D	C		L	7	the community and star	keholders
Existing Urban Management	Nutrient	<u></u>		NOT APPLICABLE					during the Water Quality						
New Urban			Improvement Plan update pro						,						
Development	Soil		NOT APPLICABLE continuing throughout 2014							2014					
						[Dated	practice	Со	mmor	praction	e B Best p	oractice	Cutting-edge pr	actice

	Key Pollutant	Event Freshwater Quality Values					Draft C	ane & Horti Priority	culture	Draft Grazing Priority				Cost
Key Pollutant		Objective 2050	Condition 2007	Target 2014	Achieved 2014	Draft Target 2021	Soil	Nutrient	Pesticide	Soil	Riparian	Nutrient	Pesticide	\$ '000s
	DissolvedInorganic Nitrogen μg/L	300	485	364	410	364	L → H		L → H			L H		80
	Filterable Reactive Phosphorus µg/L	30	47	35	40	35	L → H		L → H			L H		50
	Particulate Nitrogen μg/L	340	516	324	501	?	L H		L → H	L H	L TOH	L ∕ †∕H		
	Particulate Phosphorus μg/L	70	238	149	231	?	L ♥H	L ♥₩		L → H	L ∕† ∕H			979
	Total Suspended Sediment mg/L	CC,	122	CC	118	CC	L → H			L → H	L → H			
	Ametryn μg/L	CC	<lod< td=""><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>L H</td><td></td><td>L H</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod<>	CC	CC	CC	L H		L H					
ŀ	<page-header> Atrazine μg/L</page-header>	0.18	0.24	0.18	0.19	0.18	L → H		L H					6
	Diuron μg/L	0.56	0.79	0.56	0.61	0.56	L H		L K H					
	Hexazinone μg/L	0.21	0.28	0.21	0.22	0.21	L → H		L √ H					
	Tebuthiuron μg/L	CC	<lod< td=""><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>L H</td><td>#</td></lod<>	CC	CC	CC							L H	#

CC = Current condition; LOD = Limit of Detection which is currently 0.01 μ g/L for all herbicides # Tebuthiuron is not a priority due to consistently low levels of detection across the region

System rating (A=excellent, E=poor)				Draft	Cost			
Value rated	Objective 2050	Condition 2007	Target 2014	Achieved 2014	Draft Target 2021	System repair actions	Priority	\$ '000s
Flow	A	В	A	В	A	Develop and implement management strategies to improve flow	L → H	Costs to in improvements
Barriers to Migration	A	C	B	B	A	Remove of barriers to migration	L → H	to implem nents will b
Instream Habitat	A	C	В	C	В	Restoration and stabilisation of priority reaches	L → H	ent system r e determine targets l
Riparian Vegetation	A	•	B	C	B	Active management and protection of riparian zones. Grazing management on riparian land and adjacent to wetlands	L ∕∕∕ H	epair actions d after manaç nave been set
Estuary Modification	A	В	A	В	A	Develop and implement management strategies to improve estuary condition	L ∕ ¶∕H	for ecosystem h yement practice
Mangroves & Saltmarsh	A	B	A	В	A	Develop and implement management strategies to improve condition of mangroves and saltmarsh	L → H	health se adoption