## Myrtle Creek Management Area

2013	Land Use	Management Practices	S Key Pollutant			ח 	2014 % Adoption Target				2014 % Adoption Achieved			Effort realised	% of target	Draft 2021 % Adoption Target	Cost \$ '000s
	Cane & Horticulture	Soil	💿 😰	D		В				A C			A	н	146	New management prac	tice
N 2007		Nutrient	on 💿 💿	D	С	В	с		А	D	С	В	A	н	92	adoption targets and implementation costs w	ill be
TIO		Pesticide	٨	D	С	В	С		А	D	С		ВА	М	62	determined in consultat	ion with
ADOPTION	Grazing	Soil		D				В	A C			В	L	0	the community and stake during the Water Qualit		
	Existing Urban Management	Nutrient	💿 💿	NOT APPLICABLE Improvement Plan update process continuing throughout 2014													
CHANGE	New Urban Development	Soil	💿 😳														
				Dated practice C Common practice B Best practice Cutting-edge practice									oractice				

	Kou Dollutont	Event Freshwater Quality Values					Draft C	ane & Horti Priority	culture	Draft Grazing Priority				Cost	
	Key Pollutant	Objective 2050	Condition 2007	Target 2014	Achieved 2014	Draft Target 2021	Soil	Nutrient	Pesticide	Soil	Riparian	Nutrient	Pesticide	\$ '000s	
(	DissolvedInorganic Nitrogen µg/L	300	606	414	429	300	L	L				L		145	
(	Filterable Reactive Phosphorus μg/L	30	282	193	200	30	L	L				L		140	
	🔊 Particulate Nitrogen μg/L	340	368	324	346	340	L	L		L	L	L			
(	Particulate Phosphorus μg/L	70	133	117	125	117	L	L		L	L			447	
(	Total Suspended Sediment mg/L	CC	40	CC	38	CC	L			L H	L				
•	δ Ametryn μg/L	0.12	0.16	0.12	0.14	0.12	L		L						
•	Atrazine μg/L	0.94	1.26	0.94	0.94	0.94	L		L					345	
•	Diuron μg/L	1.00	4.00	1.50	2.45	1.50	L		L						
•	θ Hexazinone μg/L	0.49	0.66	0.49	0.55	0.49	L		L						
•	😵 Tebuthiuron μg/L	CC	<lod< td=""><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>L R H</td><td>#</td></lod<>	CC	CC	CC							L R H	#	

CC = Current condition; LOD = Limit of Detection which is currently 0.01  $\mu$ g/L for all herbicides

# Tebuthiuron is not a priority due to consistently low levels of detection across the region

Syste	tem rating (A=excellent, E=poor)						Draft	Cost
Value rated	Objective 2050	Condition 2007	Target 2014	Achieved 2014	Draft Target 2021	System repair actions	Priority	\$ '000s
Flow	B	D	C	D	С	Implementation of voluntary irrigation restrictions to maintain waterhole during low flow	L H	Costs to in improvements
Barriers to Migration	A	C	B	C	B	Removal of barriers to migration	L	י will b
Instream Habitat	A	С	B	С	B	Restoration and stabilisation of priority reaches	L	ient system r e determine targets l
Riparian Vegetation	A	C	B	С	B	Active restoration and connectivity of priority reaches	L	epair actions d after mana nave been se
Estuary Modification						NOT APPLICABLE		for ecosystem health gement practice adoption t.
Mangroves & Saltmarsh						NOT APPLICABLE		health ce adoption

**EVENT WATER QUALITY**