## **Sandy Creek Management Area**

Land Use	Management Practices	Key Pollutant	2007 % Adoption 			2014 % Adoption Target			2014 % Adoption Achieved			Effort realised	% of target	Draft 2021 % Adoption Target	Cost \$ '000s	
	Soil		D	С	В	C		А	DC			Α	Н	99	New management pract	tice
Cane & Horticulture	Nutrient		D	С	В	C		Α	D			А	М	74	adoption targets and implementation costs wi	ill be
	Pesticide	•	D	C	В	C		A	D (			А	Н	91	determined in consultati	
Grazing	Soil		D		В	C		Α	D	C		В	L	20	the community and stak	reholders
Existing Urban Management	Nutrient		D		В			В					tbc	tbc	during the Water Quality	
New Urban Development	Soil		D		В			А					tbc	tbc	Improvement Plan update continuing throughout 20	,
						D	ated p	oractice	Со	mm	on pr	actice	B Best p	ractice	Cutting-edge pra	ctice

Kay Dallystant		ent Fresh	water Qı	uality Valu		Draft C	ane & Horti Priority	iculture	Draft Grazing Priority				Cost
Key Pollutant	Objective 2050	Condition 2007	Target 2014	Achieved 2014	Draft Target 2021	Soil	Nutrient	Pesticide	Soil	Riparian	Nutrient	Pesticide	\$ '000s
DissolvedInorganic Nitrogen μg/L	300	539	353	401	353	L <b>◯</b> †◯H	<b>L</b> → H				L <b>◯</b> †◯H		1282
Filterable Reactive Phosphorus µg/L	30	210	137	156	137	L H	L <b>∕</b> †∕H				L → H		
Particulate Nitrogen μg/L	340	421	265	362	265	L H	L TO H		L H	L → H	L <b>⋘</b> H		
Particulate Phosphorus μg/L	70	160	101	138	101	L H	L <b>∕</b> H		L → H	L → H			2189
Total Suspended Sediment mg/L	CC	71	CC	61	CC	L H			L → H	L → H			
Ametryn μg/L	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	L H		L H					
Atrazine μg/L	0.40	0.54	0.40	0.41	0.40	L → H		L ← H					222
🕸 Diuron μg/L	0.75	1.95	0.75	0.86	0.75	L <b>√</b> H		L <b>≪</b> H					
Rexazinone μg/L	0.41	0.55	0.41	0.42	0.41	L <b>≪</b> H		L → H					
Tebuthiuron μg/L	CC	<lod< td=""><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td>CC</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>L<b>≪</b>H</td><td>#</td></lod<>	CC	CC	CC							L <b>≪</b> H	#

CC = Current condition; LOD = Limit of Detection which is currently 0.01  $\mu$ g/L for all herbicides

<sup>#</sup> Tebuthiuron is not a priority due to consistently low levels of detection across the region

System rating (A=excellent, E=poor)				Draft	Cost			
Value rated	Objective 2050	Condition 2007	Target 2014	get Achieved Draft 14 2014 Target 2021		System repair actions	Priority	\$ '000s
Flow	B	D	G	D	C	Development and implementation of flow restoration and management strategies and actions	L <b>∕</b> H	Costs
Barriers to Migration	B	D	G	D	C	Removal of barriers to migration	L → H	ts to implem ments will b
Instream Habitat	B	D	C	D	C	Restoration and stabilisation of priority reaches	L <b>∕∕∕</b> H	ent system e determin targets
Riparian Vegetation	G	<b>(3</b> )	D	E	D	Active restoration and connectivity of priority reaches	L → H	repair actions ed after manaç have been set
Estuary Modification	A	В	A	В	A	Implementation of management and rehabilitation strategies to improve estuary condition	L → H	for ecosystem gement practic
Mangroves & Saltmarsh	G	<b>B</b>	D	<b>B</b>	D	Resourcing and implementation of management and rehabilitation strategies to improve mangroves and saltmarsh	L H	health ce adoption
i e								